(3)

C.F/1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2071/2003

PETITIONER : Smt. Vijay Laxmi Devare,
Aged about 43 years,
W/o Shri Laxman Deware,
Assistant Grade-II, Panchayat
and Social Welfare Department
R/o Akash Nagar, Frezerpur,
Jagdalpur, Distt. Baster (C.G.)

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India,
Through Secretary, Ministry
Of Personnel and Training,
New Delhi.

P. R. No. 1936/03
Presented by Shri ... Ramotey
Date ... 14-07-03

RECEIVED COPY.
12/7/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through Secretary, Panchayat
And Social Welfare Department,
Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.).

12/9/03

3. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Panchayat
And Social Welfare Department,
D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

4. Deputy Director,
Panchayat and Social Welfare
Department, Jagdalpur,
Jagdalpur Distt. Baster (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO MOVE REPRESENTATION.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(29)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.207/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	23.07.2003 Sri Prashant Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner. Sri V.V.S. Murthy, Additional Central Govt. Standing Counsel for Union of India/respondent No.1. Sri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondent No.3. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. By this petition filed under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is seeking extension of time which was granted by order dated 28th April, 2003, passed in W.P.No.2104/2002 for allowing her to make representation to the respondents up to 10th May, 2003. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on account of the illness of the petitioner she was taking treatment at Nagpur, therefore, she was not able to receive the intimation from her counsel about the time allowed by the Court for making representation. As such, she could not submit representation to the respondents up to 10th May, 2003. At the outset, the learned counsel submitted that the petitioner may be permitted to send the representation to the respondents and it is for them to consider the representation of the petitioner, if they deem it just, proper and appropriate in the circumstances of the case.	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(25)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2071/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is at liberty to send the representation to the respondents and it is for the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner. If the respondents find it just and reasonable that the petitioner could not send the representation in time on account of the supervening circumstances, then they may consider her representation and pass appropriate order, ~~in accordance with law.~~ With these observations, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.6272/2003 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Soma

खत

[पीछे देखिये